1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:18-cr-00319-JO-5
5	v.	
6	RYAN ANTHONY NEGRINELLI,	April 11, 2019
7	Defendant.	Portland, Oregon
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	Detention Hearing	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. JONES	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SENIOR JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		
2		APPEARANCES
3		
4	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	Ms. Leah K. Bolstad
5		United States Attorney's Office 1000 S.W. Third Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97204
6		FOICIAIN, OR 9/204
7		
8	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	Ms. Dianna J. Gentry Attorney at Law
9		6500 S.W. Macadam Avenue, No. 300 Portland, OR 97239
10		Torciana, on 57255
11		Mr. Matthew A. Schindler Attorney at Law
12		501 4th Street, No. 324 Lake Oswego, OR 97034
13		Laie obliego, on 5,001
14		
15	COURT REPORTER:	Bonita J. Shumway, CSR, RMR, CRR United States District Courthouse
16		1000 S.W. Third Ave., Room 301 Portland, OR 97204
17		(503) 326-8188
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

(PROCEEMDINGS)

(April 11, 2019; 11:38 a.m.)

THE COURT: Everybody have a seat, please.

Counsel.

MS. BOLSTAD: Good morning, Your Honor. Leah Bolstad for the United States. We're here in the matter of United States versus Ryan Anthony Negrinelli. His case is 18-cr-319. He is the fifth defendant listed in the case.

The government is here today responding to some arguments made by the defense in a recently filed motion for release. The motion was 36 pages, and today the government filed a supplemental memorandum. Ours is 11 pages. I hope the Court had an opportunity to review that supplemental memorandum.

THE COURT: I did. I read it carefully.

MS. BOLSTAD: Updated in that memorandum is some specifics about Mr. Negrinelli's conduct in this case involved in the kidnap and the murder of Robert Huggins. There's additional specifics that were provided in that memo today.

Also, we updated some procedural background with respect to the other defendants in this case. To date, everybody charged with the murder and kidnap of this victim has been detained, and every other judge to hear the matter has ordered the detention. Including for this defendant, two separate magistrate judges have ordered him detained. In large

part, that is because of the nature of the charges, the nature and seriousness of kidnap and murder and violent crimes in aid of racketeering.

This Court released Mr. Hause. You'll recall that hearing. I was not present for that hearing, but my co-counsel was here, and the huge difference with Mr. Hause is that he was not involved in murder and he was not alleged to have participated in that kidnap and murder that this defendant was.

So for all the reasons listed in our memorandum, the government is asking you to detain this defendant despite -despite the community support that he has and his otherwise good record in the community. The government acknowledges that. This defendant does not have a criminal history. But his first involvement in the criminal justice system, he's engaged in perhaps one of the worst crimes a person can commit. It was not a spur-of-the-moment crime. It was a premeditated, planned hunt for somebody who had angered the very group that he was a part of.

He was not a victim. This is not a victim, although he portrays himself to be a victim of circumstances. This defendant helped participate in that planned manhunt and the brutal torture murder of Bobby Huggins.

Much like there are good things to say about
Mr. Negrinelli, there are people, including Mr. Huggins' family
members, who will never see him again. They have a lot of

positive things to say about Robert Huggins, a father, a grandfather who did a lot of positive things in his own family and in his own community. You're not going to see that here today. Instead you're looking at all the positive things that Mr. Negrinelli has done. But to the extent the defense blames the victim for what happens or that he had it coming or deserved it, I think that that's really far afield here. The issue for this Court is to decide whether this defendant can be released on conditions. And given the nature and seriousness of the offense, and Pretrial's recommendation of detention, the government is asking this Court to detain the defendant.

In my memo I said I would present you with some photographs. This is how Mr. Negrinelli has presented himself to the world. This was his publicly available Facebook page. So in contrast to all of the people who say he has nothing to do with this violent organization, Mr. Negrinelli's own public Facebook page puts himself out to the world as a member wearing the colors, flipping off the camera, riding motorcycles, and being very much a part of this organization.

I'll forward that to the Court. (Handing.)

And as to the defendant's motion, I don't have anything to say, but I may wish to respond to anything they argue now.

THE COURT: Well, I want you to please state what proof you have, without identifying your witnesses, as to what

1 this defendant did as far as the torture murder is concerned. 2 MS. BOLSTAD: Yes, Your Honor. 3 Cooperating witnesses will testify at trial that this defendant participated in the torture by helping to grab 4 5 Mr. Huggins in a driveway in Portland, Oregon, and put him into a Suburban, where Mr. Negrinelli and four other co-conspirators 6 7 drove Mr. Huggins' body -- his beaten body at that time, but he was still alive -- up to Woodland, Washington. During that 8 transport, Mr. Negrinelli admits in post-arrest statements that 9 he heard one other co-conspirator rack a firearm. 10 11 Mr. Negrinelli himself --12 THE COURT: What does that mean, "rack a firearm"? 13 MS. BOLSTAD: To make sure it's loaded and rack it, a 14 pistol, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Go ahead. 16 MS. BOLSTAD: So he did not actually see it, according to his postings. 17 18 THE COURT: But what did he do specifically? 19 MS. BOLSTAD: Well, he continued to go in that car 20 ride after beating a person in a driveway. He continued. 21 He continued, when they arrived in Woodland, 22 Washington, to help get Bobby Huggins out of the Suburban and 23 get him situated in a shed. He continued at that point. He 24 didn't disengage and say, "I want to get out of here." He instead, by his own admission, hit the victim several times. 25

He hit the victim in the vehicle on the way there and he hit the victim in that shed.

He also classified what he did as, "I blasted him a few times." I think we would have to just infer what he means by "blasted him a few times."

Witnesses, besides his own admissions, would say that Mr. Negrinelli assisted in waterboarding the victim; that is to take a cloth and put it over Mr. Huggins' face and pour water down him, down his face, causing a choking reaction. This is after Mr. Huggins had already been brutally beaten in the car.

Other witnesses describe how Mr. Negrinelli assisted in applying burning hot wire to Mr. Huggins' body during this torture in the shed.

In the aftermath, other co-conspirators took the body and dumped it in a field, and this defendant and two other codefendants drove away from the scene together in a separate vehicle. In that ride, they disposed of murder weapons -- that is, they threw bats into brush off the side of the road.

THE COURT: Baseball bats?

MS. BOLSTAD: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel?

MR. SCHINDLER: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. SCHINDLER: Matt Schindler, and this is Dianna

Gentry, and this is Ryan Negrinelli, Your Honor.

A couple of points of clarification, just to respond to some of the remarks from the government. One relates to the issue of this matter being heard before two prior judges. I appeared at Mr. Negrinelli's initial arraignment and made an off-the-cuff at the time, no information, no pleading, no nothing argument to the judge, seeking his release based on the fact that he has no criminal history, he's a single father, he has long-term ties to the community, and at that point I had no information indicating he had done anything.

So we had that initial hearing and he's ordered detained. The following Tuesday -- so that was on a Thursday. The following Tuesday, I appeared again on his behalf, seeking his release. Again, at this point in time, I had not been able to collect any information on his behalf. Nothing had been developed. There was no record. I didn't supply any pleadings. There was no letters of support. There was nothing.

So -- and then my recollection was, when I came to Mr. Hause's release hearing was that the Court asked the release hearings in this case be held in front of it going forward. And so that's why we're here, Your Honor. That's how we got here.

So the first one to actually consider any substance whatsoever regarding Mr. Negrinelli's history, character,

background, circumstances is Your Honor, is this Court here today.

So the second thing is that the government and Mr. Negrinelli fundamentally agree on one thing, that Mr. Huggins was murdered, and he was murdered by their star witness, their star witness. And that's the person that you and that jury is going to have to believe in order to convict this man. And I find the notion that the United States of America would allow someone who tortured and murdered and beat to death somebody, anybody, even someone like Bobby Huggins, would be able to plead guilty to racketeering as a mechanism for leveraging that cooperation, that statement into a situation where they don't have to be responsible for beating someone to death is outrageous. It's outrageous. And I think those 12 people are going to find it outrageous.

And so the government can come in and talk about witness statements, but we've seen one -- one -- and that one is the statement of the murderer, Tiler Pribbernow. Yeah, he killed this quy.

And also, I find to some extent the government's indignation about the nature of this case, the horror that this all represents, run a Google search for the Warm Springs Indian Reservation and the word "murder," and you see what you come up with. An appalling record of homicides, of torture, rapes and murders that have taken place on that reservation, and they

haven't threatened the death penalty a single time. They walk into this room, they trot these guys in here and say, "We're going to execute you unless you start talking." That's what they said. That's what they said to Pribbernow. That's how we're here.

This man wasn't charged in two years of state court. The case sat around over there in a Dumpster file, waiting for somebody to do something. That's not a strong federal case, Your Honor. That isn't a compelling set of evidence. I didn't hear cell phone data that puts Mr. Negrinelli at the scene. I didn't hear any physical evidence, DNA evidence. He supposedly beat someone. Where is the DNA evidence? Where is anything that -- anything objective, other than paid compensated witnesses saying, yeah, he did it? Now, the --

THE COURT: What is the status of the state charge?

MR. SCHINDLER: It was dismissed a week before they
brought it over here, after two years, Judge. You were a state
court judge. Would you have let a homicide sit around for two
years? I mean -- and then it comes over here on a racketeering
theory, Judge, that makes absolutely no sense.

We're not here on a murder case. We're here on a case where the government has to prove that this individual's mens rea, his agreement, his conspiratorial agreement encompassed a homicide that did nothing to advance -- nothing to advance the objectives of this supposed criminal

organization.

So, I mean, a strong case, Your Honor? Remember Jose Mamani-Vidal? How about your fingerprints are on the drugs? That's a strong case. But a person says so is not.

And so I appreciate that Ms. Bolstad has managed -the government has managed to beat these defendants with the
threat of the death penalty and coming in and pitching them the
story they wanted to hear, which is that somehow this murder,
which did nothing to advance the interests of the Gypsy Jokers,
which was committed by someone who as far as I know wasn't even
a member, is a racketeering endeavor, is something that
forwarded, advanced, profited, benefited this criminal
organization. There's just no way.

So it's more than just simply, you know, he participated in a murder. It's a very, very special kind of murder, Judge. And so, you know, it's an interesting dynamic to be involved in a case like this, because the law says you don't get to detain someone just based on what the government says they're accused of. That's what the law says. And then you look at Mr. Negrinelli and his background and his circumstances and the level of support.

Everyone who is here for Mr. Negrinelli right now, please stand up.

(Audience members stand.)

MR. SCHINDLER: This is Hailey (ph). This is

Mr. Negrinelli's daughter, the one he's had sole custody of for 14 years. These are -- this is his family. This is his mother, Cynthia Negrinelli.

THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated.

MR. SCHINDLER: And, Your Honor, I'm sure someone loved Bobby Huggins. That isn't the point. The point is whether we are dealing with an individual who under this set of circumstances, with a tri-county restriction on his travel, with electronic monitoring, with no criminal history, with no history of substance abuse can actually be supervised on conditions. And I think the answer is absolutely, of course he can. Of course. We do it all the time.

And so I think we have to get past the hysteria in this case of all of these men are responsible. Listen, Mark Dencklau, the president of this club, didn't order this murder. No one did. No one ordered anyone to kill Bobby Huggins. Tiler Pribbernow did it because he's a lunatic, that's why.

And so to attribute that kind of conduct to this individual with no criminal history, who has never been in a court before -- and also, I just want to respond briefly to the government's reference to Mr. Negrinelli's post-arrest statements. The very first thing that he did, at least within the first two minutes, is invoke his right to counsel. And he was told when he did that, "Oh, no, no, no, we can't do that right now. We got to tell you stuff. We got to tell you this

information."

And then they proceed to hammer him for an hour with false information about him, with evidence they don't have.

They're hammering him for an hour, and he's telling them,

"Listen, I have head injuries, I have memory problems. I don't know."

Finally he invokes his right to counsel again and goes down to a holding cell for hour after hour after hour, and then finally he's recontacted. And this is late in the evening. He is recontacted yet again unlawfully by police after he's twice invoked his right to counsel, and they start hammering him. "You were in the car hitting him, you were in the car hitting him."

So this notion that these statements make him guilty of this murder or of racketeering, it's just not borne out by the information that we have, Your Honor.

And, you know, in 22 years of doing this, you don't often get called down to represent someone on a murder case, a murder case involving the Gypsy Jokers, and when they called me, I came down and I expected to find someone who was a murderer. I expected to find someone who was a Gypsy Joker. I expected to find a case where the moral fulcrum, the emotional reality of the case was so profoundly disturbing that it merited the federal government seeking to execute people. And now that I'm in it, none of that is true, none of it.

Thank you. Thank you for considering my pleadings.

Mr. Negrinelli is here to answer your questions. If you want
to ask him about how he respects this Court's authority or how
he would intend to conduct himself on release, he'd answer
those questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

MR. SCHINDLER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Do you wish to respond?

MS. BOLSTAD: Your Honor, I want to respond about the assertion that it's just one witness putting Mr. Negrinelli as involved.

That's not accurate. He is certainly a very important witness who describes Negrinelli's involvement, but the evidence on Mr. Negrinelli is more than that.

Let's assume that it was just one witness. That witness has been very well corroborated by an ongoing investigation. Let me give you one specific way that that key witness was corroborated. We always look for ways that a witness can provide us a new lead, evidence that is new to investigators. And that's exactly what that star witness did. He described a vehicle used by Joseph Folkerts. That's a co-defendant indicted in this case. We did not previously know that vehicle was involved. So investigators took that lead, and Mr. -- the witness, the star witness said, "But that very vehicle was used to dump Huggins' dead body after his murder."

Okay. So we went to look for that vehicle. We found the vehicle matching Tiler Pribbernow's description, a Chevy Tahoe, had been sold to another family. Agents did a search warrant for that vehicle and, lo and behold, underneath carpet in the trunk, they found human blood. That led to the arrest of Joe Folkerts, and in Mr. Folkerts' post-arrest interview, he admitted, "Yes, my vehicle was involved in transporting this dead body and dumping it at a location."

The government never would have had that information without this key witness. That's merely one way in which that witness has been corroborated in an extremely strong way.

Moreover, other witnesses who are now coming forward -- and we are in the process of speaking with those witnesses -- also corroborate things that Tiler Pribbernow has said. And you know what's interesting about their corroboration? Those witnesses had not yet seen Mr. Pribbernow's statements. They had not seen them. But their statements match up almost exactly with his, and those statements put this defendant as being very involved in the murder.

So it's not just him, though. We have phone records of Mr. Negrinelli, his phone records from the time of these events spike on certain key days, and those contacts that he's making with other co-conspirators are spiking on days related to this hunt for Bobby Huggins, as well as on the June 30th and

1 July 1st days. 2 So I want the Court to be aware we're not just 3 relying on one I think purchased witness, as Mr. Schindler describes him as. There is so much more to that. 4 We'd ask that the Court detain the defendant. 5 I'm happy to answer any other questions you may have. 6 7 THE COURT: No, fine. Thank you. I do have these photographs. As I understand it, 8 the -- when were these posted? 9 10 MS. BOLSTAD: These are from a time period of 2014 11 through 2018. 12 THE COURT: Well, that's -- my information I have is that he was a prospect member, and then after this event, he 13 14 became a full member, and then he departed from current 15 membership. 16 MS. BOLSTAD: That is my understanding. 17 THE COURT: So he is not a Gypsy Joker at the time of 18 his arrest; is that correct? 19 MS. BOLSTAD: That's correct according to 20 Mr. Negrinelli, and I don't have anything that disputes that. 21 THE COURT: Fine. 22 I have -- have a seat. 23 I have evaluated very carefully the material that has 24 been submitted to the Court by both sides. I have read the proffer that has been provided from Pribbernow that has been 25

provided to defense counsel, and which I have read. It is not a matter of record.

The part of the defendant's participation, at least at this juncture, is that the defendant did, in fact, be involved in the kidnapping of the defendant (sic), in the sense that he was taken into -- against his will and transported from one place to another, and during this process, the defendant engaged in waterboarding, that the victim -- when I talk about the victim, I'm talking about the person who was murdered.

That you participated in the waterboarding of him by tying a scarf around his mouth and pouring water in it, that you also struck him, but that the major killing -- the major assault was by Pribbernow with a baseball bat, that that's the information that I have so far.

So as far as your alleged confessions, that will await trial to determine whether they are constitutional or not. I would have to -- that would have to be adjudicated at a later time.

The aspects of this case are that your participation was active and part -- an integral part of the detention of the victim and the assaults. The degree of your involvement will have to be determined at trial. So I'm going to detain you to determine that.

I recognize that you have a very serious head injury, brain injury, that you have had this since you were a child,

that you're still suffering from that, and that you are a loving father, the sole custodian of your daughter, who is very devoted to you, and that fortunately your mother is still available to take care of her pending your trial.

The business that you tried to start has not matured to the point where you can pay for your own counsel.

That's correct, is it not, Counsel?

MR. SCHINDLER: Yes, it is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

You've made a request for a highly skilled brain expert -- Just have a seat just a moment -- and that will be addressed after I've heard any opposition to it, but my inclination is that that will be justified in one form or another. Whether we do it by long distance or in person is another factor.

There is no question that you are not a flight risk. Your devotion to your daughter, number one. You have many friends and supportive people. Obviously, you're not going to let them down by running somewhere. I don't know where you'd run.

You certainly -- and then as far as intimidation of other witnesses, of these witnesses, you don't know where they are, and further, I don't think that you would be -- that would be part of your participation.

But I think the gravity of the offense, that carries

up to life imprisonment, or at least substantial periods of time, is the factor that weighs heavily in your involvement in this case.

As far as the trial time, I'm very concerned about waiting for the Department of Justice to make up their mind whether they're going to charge a capital murder case, death penalty case or not. I'm not going to wait for the government to make up your mind -- their mind as to whether they're going to do it. I'm not going to have these men, presumed to be innocent, sitting in a county jail, waiting for some bureaucrat in Washington, D.C. to make up their mind as to whether they're going to charge or not. They've had their opportunity. I'm setting this case for trial in the very near future.

Now, assuming that you're not going to be having the death penalty case, when is the first time that the government can be available for trial? Want to give that some thought?

MS. BOLSTAD: I would like to, Your Honor. There's been a lot of conversations with the multiple defense teams in this case.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. BOLSTAD: And I think the opportunity to next address scheduling will be at our May status conference with Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that satisfactory? You want to get it tried, too?

MR. SCHINDLER: Absolutely, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: And I'm going to see that you get it. 3 I mean, we came in to see you for a MR. SCHINDLER: status conference, we had one volume of discovery, and six 4 weeks later, and we just are getting our second volume. So we 5 need to get cranked up --6 7 THE COURT: Sure. 8 MR. SCHINDLER: -- you know. 9 THE COURT: I will accommodate you. 10 MR. SCHINDLER: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: I just am not going to sit around waiting 12 Last I heard, it might take a year for the government 13 to make up their mind. That's not going to happen. 14 So we'll get this case tried in a -- as soon as 15 possible. I hope you'll meet and confer and agree to a trial 16 date. Thank you. 17 18 MS. BOLSTAD: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Anything further at this point? 20 MS. BOLSTAD: No, Your Honor. MR. SCHINDLER: Your Honor, if I might just have a 21 22 moment with you, maybe back in chambers to discuss some of the 23 expense issues? 24 THE COURT: Yes, I'd be pleased. 25 MR. SCHINDLER: Could we do that?

```
THE COURT: We don't need a reporter for that.
 1
 2
               MR. SCHINDLER: No, no, not at all.
               THE COURT: Court is in recess.
 3
                (Proceedings concluded at 12:08 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

--000--I certify, by signing below, that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause. A transcript without an original signature or conformed signature is not certified. /s/Bonita J. Shumway August 11, 2019 BONITA J. SHUMWAY, CSR, RMR, CRR DATE Official Court Reporter